their nuclear characteristics, when their degeneration is complete.—

Johns Hopkins University Circulars, vol. xii. no. 106, p. 103.

Cleveland, Ohio, March 21, 1893.

Notes on some Mexican Coccidæ *.

Las Cruces, New Mexico, U.S.A., June 21, 1893.

Later studies make it desirable to add a few words. In Pulvinaria lutea I remarked on the long bristle on the inner side of the femur. I now believe that this is on the end of the trochanter, as is seen in other species. The trochanter appears to be unusually long and its articulation with the femur difficult to observe. From this correction it follows that the femur itself is shorter than I supposed. The Ceroplastes on Artemisia was expected to prove identical with one from New Mexico, which has been named C. artemisiæ by Dr. Riley, but not described. However, I sent some to Dr. Riley, and hear from him that they are distinct—which is curious, since they live on the same genus of plants in the same zoological subregion. My insect, which is curiously irregular in form, will be called *C. artemisiarum*, sp. n. The other "Ceroplastes" alluded to, on a spiny shrub, has a glassy rather than waxy scale and 7-jointed antennæ, wherein it agrees not with Ceroplastes, but with the New-Zcaland genus Inglisia, Maskell. I call it, therefore, T. D. A. COCKERELL. Inglisia nivea, sp. n.

> Las Cruces, New Mexico, U.S.A., June 24, 1893.

Mr. Robert Newstead, to whom I sent specimens of *Pulvinaria lutea*, remarks that in structure the ovisac agrees not with true *Pulvinaria*, but with the closely allied genus *Lichtensia*, Sign. At the same time he kindly sends me specimens of *L. viburni*, Sign. (the only known species of the genus), which were found at Llandaff, Wales, by Mr. B. Tomlin. *P. lutea* and *L. viburni* differ very greatly in superficial appearance; but, as Mr. Newstead states, they show some generic points in common. Detailed comparisons will be made, and reported on in some future paper.

T. D. A. C.

 $^{^*}$ [The above notes reached us too late for insertion with the paper in our July number.—Eds.]